

# Notes on Asset Prices in the exchange/production economy

Pengfei Wang

Hong Kong University of Science and Technology

2010

# Asset Prices in the production economy

- Real business cycle models are arguably successful at mimicking the cyclical behavior of macroeconomic quantities.
- However, Mehra and Prescott (1985) show that utility specifications common in RBC models have counterfactual implications for asset prices.
- We investigate the performance of the RBC model in mimicking the difference between the average return to stocks and bonds, or the risk premium.
- We start with an endowment economy and then we move to the production economy.

# The Lucas Tree model

- An continuum of identical households with time-seperable utility function

$$U = E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \frac{C_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \quad (1)$$

- Two assets. One asset is bond, the other asset is a tree.
- The tree yields a fruit sequence  $D_t$  according to some distribution to be specified.
- The tree can be traded among households. Its price is  $P_t$ . The bonds return is  $R_{ft}$  between period t to period t+1.

# The Household Problem

- The household maximize

$$E_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \frac{C_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \quad (2)$$

- with a consequence of constraint

$$C_t + Q_t s_{t+1} + \frac{B_{t+1}}{R_{ft}} = (Q_t + D_t) s_t + B_t \quad (3)$$

- where  $B_t$  is the bond holding in the beginning of period and  $s_t$  is the stock holding.

# The Bellman Equation

- Notice the household has two state variables,  $s_t, B_t$
- three variables need to be solved,  $C_t, s_{t+1}, B_{t+1}$
- Also the price  $Q_t, R_{ft}, D_t$  are also exogenous states to the households. These are aggregate states. We denote them  $\Phi_t$ .
- Define  $V(s_t, B_t; \Phi_t)$  as  $V_t(s_t, B_t)$  as the value function, we have

# The Bellman Equation

- Define  $V(s_t, B_t; \Phi_t)$  as  $V_t(s_t, B_t)$  as the value function, we have

$$V_t(s_t, B_t) = \max_{s_{t+1}, B_{t+1}, C_t} \left\{ \frac{C_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} + \beta E_t V_{t+1}(s_{t+1}, B_{t+1}) \right. \\ \left. + \lambda_t [(Q_t + D_t)s_t + B_t - C_t - Q_t s_{t+1} - \frac{B_{t+1}}{R_{ft}}] \right\} \quad (4)$$

- FOC yields

$$C_t^{-\gamma} = \lambda_t \quad (5)$$

$$\lambda_t Q_t = \beta E_t \frac{\partial V_{t+1}(s_{t+1}, B_{t+1})}{\partial s_{t+1}} \quad (6)$$

$$\lambda_t \frac{1}{R_{ft}} = \beta E_t \frac{\partial V_{t+1}(s_{t+1}, B_{t+1})}{\partial B_{t+1}} \quad (7)$$

# The Bellman Equation

- The Envelop theorem yields

$$\frac{\partial V_t(s_t, B_t)}{\partial B_t} = \lambda_t(Q_t + D_t) \quad (8)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial V_t(s_t, B_t)}{\partial s_t} = \lambda_t \quad (9)$$

- so we have two Euler equations below

$$\lambda_t \frac{1}{R_{ft}} = \beta E_t \lambda_{t+1} \quad (10)$$

and

$$\lambda_t Q_t = \beta E_t \lambda_{t+1} (Q_{t+1} + D_{t+1}) \quad (11)$$

- The equilibrium is a sequence of price and quantity  $\{Q_t, R_{ft}, C_t, s_{t+1}, B_{t+1}\}$  such that given  $\{Q_t, R_{ft}, D_t\}$ , such that  $\{C_t, s_{t+1}, B_{t+1}\}$  solves the individual problem and all market clears.
- This implies

$$s_{t+1} = 1 \quad (12)$$

- and

$$B_{t+1} = 0 \quad (13)$$

$$C_t = D_t$$



- So we have the price of the tree is given by

$$D_t^{-\gamma} Q_t = \beta E_t D_{t+1}^{-\gamma} [Q_{t+1} + D_{t+1}] \quad (14)$$

- and the return is

$$D_t^{-\gamma} = \beta \frac{1}{R_{ft}} E_t D_{t+1}^{-\gamma} \quad (15)$$

- The above two equations define

$$Q_t = Q(D_t); R_{ft} = R_f(D_t) \quad (16)$$

the prices as a function of dividend.

- If  $D_t$  follows a Markovian Process, with the transitional probability

$$\Pr(D_{t+1} = d_j | D_t = d_i) = \pi_{ij} \quad (17)$$

- We then can calculate interest rate and the stock price by

$$d_i^{-\gamma} = \beta R_i \sum \pi_{ij} d_j^{-\gamma} \quad (18)$$

- and the stock price as

$$d_i^{-\gamma} Q_i = \beta \sum \pi_{ij} d_j^{-\gamma} [Q_j + d_j] \quad (19)$$

- For an example, consider  $d_i = [1, 2]$  and the and  $\pi_{ij} = .5$ ,  $\gamma = 1$ , we have

$$R_1 = \frac{1}{\beta \frac{1}{2} [1^{-1} + 2^{-1}]} \quad (20)$$

and

$$R_2 = \frac{2^{-1}}{\beta \frac{1}{2} [1^{-1} + 2^{-1}]} \quad (21)$$

- and

$$Q_1 = \frac{\beta}{2} [Q_1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2} (Q_2 + 2)] \quad (22)$$

$$Q_2 \frac{1}{2} = \frac{\beta}{2} [Q_1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2} (Q_2 + 2)] \quad (23)$$

Which can be used to solve  $Q_1, Q_2$ .

- Generally the stock price equals to

$$Q_t = E_t \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta^j \frac{\lambda_{t+j}}{\lambda_t} D_{t+j} \quad (24)$$

- In deriving the above result, we have used the transversality condition such that

$$E_t \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \beta^j \lambda_{t+j} Q_{t+j} = 0 \quad (25)$$

- consider a sequence of  $P_t$  which satisfy

$$P_t = \beta E_t \frac{\lambda_{t+1}}{\lambda_t} P_{t+1} \quad (26)$$

- We can verify that

$$\tilde{Q}_t = Q_t + P_t \quad (27)$$

$$\tilde{Q}_t = \beta E_t [\tilde{Q}_{t+1} + \tilde{D}_{t+1}] \quad (28)$$

- However for any  $P_t \neq 0$ , the price  $\tilde{Q}_t$  can not support the equilibrium. We call  $Q_t$  as the fundamental price of the asset and  $P_t$  as the bubble component.

- To understand the results, we consider a deterministic case. Namely  $D_t = \bar{D}$ . In such case we

$$R_{ft} = \frac{1}{\beta};$$

$$Q_t = \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} D \quad (29)$$

- And the utility level for the household in the equilibrium path is

$$U = \frac{1}{1-\beta} u(D) \quad (30)$$

- Now consider  $P_t = \frac{1}{\beta^t} P_0$  and the price  $\tilde{Q}_t = \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} D + \frac{1}{\beta^t} P_0$ . Clearly we have

$$\frac{\beta}{1-\beta} D + \frac{1}{\beta^t} P_0 = \beta \left[ \frac{\beta}{1-\beta} D + D + \frac{1}{\beta^{t+1}} P_0 \right] \quad (31)$$

or

$$\tilde{Q}_t = \beta [\tilde{Q}_{t+1} + D_{t+1}] \quad (32)$$

- We have already seen the price  $\tilde{Q}_t = \frac{\beta}{1-\beta}D + \frac{1}{\beta^t}P_0$  also satisfy the household's Euler equation:

$$\tilde{Q}_t = \beta[\tilde{Q}_{t+1} + D_{t+1}] \quad (33)$$

- However transversality condition is violated

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow 0} \beta^j \lambda_{t+j} Q_{t+j} = u'(D)P_0 \neq 0 \quad (34)$$

for  $P_0 \neq 0$ .

- We consider the case with  $P_0 > 0$ .

- We consider the case with  $P_0 > 0$ . We want to prove that  $B_{t+1} = 0, s_{t+1} = 1$  is not an equilibrium.
- Consider the household decide  $B_{t+1} = Q, s_{t+1} = 0$ , and  $C_0 = D + P_0$ . In such case, household enjoy utility level

$$C_0 = D + P_0 \quad (35)$$

$$C_1 = \left[\frac{1}{\beta} - 1\right]Q = \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta}D \times \frac{1 - \beta}{\beta} = D \quad (36)$$

$$C_t = D \text{ for } t \geq 1 \quad (37)$$



- so we have

$$\tilde{U} > \frac{1}{1-\beta} u(D) \quad (38)$$

- so everyone would want to sell the stock in period 0. So for any  $P_0 > 0$  can not be supported by the equilibrium.
- Generally speaking, the only price for the stock is

$$Q_t = E_t \sum \beta^j \frac{\lambda_{t+j}}{\lambda_t} D_{t+j} \quad (39)$$

- So there can not be bubbles.

# Equity Premium

- Now we consider the equity premium in the model. To obtain a closed form solution, we assume that  $\log D_t$  follows a random walk. Namely

$$D_{t+1} = D_t \zeta_t \quad (40)$$

- In this case,

$$Q_t D_t^{-\gamma} = \beta E_t D_{t+1}^{-\gamma} [Q_{t+1} + D_{t+1}] \quad (41)$$

- Guess a solution such that  $Q_t/D_t = \kappa$ , we can solve

$$\kappa D_t^{1-\gamma} = \beta(\kappa + 1) E_t D_{t+1}^{1-\gamma} \quad (42)$$

- or we have

$$\frac{\kappa + 1}{\kappa} = \frac{1}{\beta E_t \zeta_{t+1}^{1-\gamma}}$$

- The risk free rate is

$$D_t^{-\gamma} = \beta R_{ft} E_t D_{t+1}^{-\gamma} \quad (43)$$

- so we have

$$R_{ft} = \frac{1}{\beta E_t \zeta_{t+1}^{-\gamma}} \quad (44)$$

- If  $x$  is log-normal distributed with , we have

$$E \exp(x) = \exp^{Ex + \frac{1}{2} \text{var}(\log \zeta)} \quad (45)$$

# Equity Premium

- We assume  $E\tilde{\zeta} = 1$ , so we have

$$E\tilde{\zeta} = E \exp\{\log(\tilde{\zeta})\} \gg E \log(\tilde{\zeta}) = -\frac{1}{2} \text{var}(\log \tilde{\zeta}) \equiv -\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 \quad (46)$$

- We can use it to determine the interest rates:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ft} &= \frac{1}{\beta E \exp^{-\gamma \log(\tilde{\zeta})}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta \exp^{-\gamma E \log(\tilde{\zeta}) + \frac{1}{2} \gamma^2 \sigma^2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta \exp^{\frac{\gamma}{2} \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2} \gamma^2 \sigma^2}} \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

- or we have

$$\log R_{ft} = -\log(\beta) - \left( \frac{\gamma}{2} \sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2} \gamma^2 \sigma^2 \right) \quad (48)$$

- And the expect return

$$\begin{aligned} E_t \frac{Q_{t+1} + D_{t+1}}{Q_t} &= \frac{\kappa + 1}{\kappa} = E_t R_{et+1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta E_t \xi_{t+1}^{1-\gamma}} = \frac{1}{\beta \exp^{-\frac{1-\gamma}{2}\sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma-1)^2\sigma^2}} \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

- so

$$\log E_t R_{et+1} = -\log(\beta) - \left(-\frac{1-\gamma}{2}\sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma-1)^2\sigma^2\right) \quad (50)$$

- and the risk premium

$$\begin{aligned} &\log E_t R_{et+1} - \log R_{ft} \quad (51) \\ &= -\left(-\frac{1-\gamma}{2}\sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma-1)^2\sigma^2\right) + \left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\sigma^2 + \frac{1}{2}\gamma^2\sigma^2\right) \\ &= \gamma\sigma^2 \end{aligned}$$

# Equity Premium Puzzle

- In the data, the consumption growth volatility is 0.5508%, namely  $\sigma = 0.5508\%$ . The risk premium yield by the model is

$$(\log E_t R_{et+1} - \log R_{ft}) * 400 = 400\gamma * \sigma^2 \quad (52)$$

- The risk premium in the data is 7.23% per year.
- We generate the following table

Table 1. Risk Premium

	$\gamma = 1$	$\gamma = 2$	$\gamma = 10$
$E[R_e - R_f]$	0.0121%	0.0243%	0.1214%

# Equity Premium Puzzle

- We see that the equity premium is given by

$$E[R_e - R_f] = \gamma\sigma^2 \quad (53)$$

- We now check the covariance between consumption growth rate and the risk return:

$$\text{cov}\left(\log\left(\frac{C_{t+1}}{D_{t+1}}\right), \log\left(\frac{Q_{t+1} + D_{t+1}}{D_t}\right)\right) = \sigma^2 \quad (54)$$

- so we have

$$E[R_e - R_f] = \gamma \text{cov}(g_{t+1}, r_{e,t+1}) \quad (55)$$

- We now show the above results holds for general case.

# Equity Premium Puzzle

- For the general case,  $C_t \neq D_t$

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \beta E_t \frac{C_t^\gamma}{C_{t+1}^\gamma} R_{et+1} \\ &= \beta E_t \exp(-\gamma g_{t+1} + r_{t+1}) \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \beta R_{ft} E_t \frac{C_t^\gamma}{C_{t+1}^\gamma} \\ &= \beta E_t \exp(-\gamma g_{t+1} + r_{ft}) \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$



# Equity Premium Puzzle

- We do a second order approximation. Define  $x_{t+1} - \bar{x}_{t+1} = \hat{x}_{t+1}$ , where  $\bar{x}_{t+1} = E_t x_{t+1}$

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \beta \exp(-\gamma \bar{g}_{t+1} + \bar{r}_{t+1}) E_t \exp(-\gamma \hat{g}_{t+1} + \hat{r}_{t+1}) & (58) \\ &= \beta \exp(-\gamma \bar{g}_{t+1} + \bar{r}_{t+1}) \times \\ &\quad E_t \left[ 1 - \gamma \hat{g}_{t+1} + \hat{r}_{t+1} + \frac{1}{2} (\gamma^2 \hat{g}_{t+1}^2 + \hat{r}_{t+1}^2 - 2\gamma \hat{g}_{t+1} \hat{r}_{t+1}) \right] \\ &= \beta \exp(-\gamma \bar{g}_{t+1} + \bar{r}_{t+1}) E_t \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2} (\gamma^2 \hat{g}_{t+1}^2 + \hat{r}_{t+1}^2 - 2\gamma \hat{g}_{t+1} \hat{r}_{t+1}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

- or we have

$$-\gamma \bar{g}_{t+1} + \bar{r}_{t+1} = -\log(\beta) - \frac{1}{2} E_t (\gamma^2 \hat{g}_{t+1}^2 + \hat{r}_{t+1}^2 - 2\gamma \hat{g}_{t+1} \hat{r}_{t+1}) \quad (59)$$

- similarly we have

$$-\gamma \bar{g}_{t+1} + \bar{r}_{t+1} = -\log(\beta) - \frac{1}{2} E_t (\gamma^2 \hat{g}_{t+1}^2)$$

# Equity Premium Puzzle

- or we have

$$-\gamma \bar{g}_{t+1} + \bar{r}_{t+1} = -\log(\beta) - \frac{1}{2} E_t(\gamma^2 \hat{g}_{t+1}^2 + \hat{r}_{t+1}^2 - 2\gamma \hat{g}_{t+1} \hat{r}_{t+1}) \quad (60)$$

- similarly we have

$$-\gamma \bar{g}_{t+1} + \bar{r}_{ft} = -\log(\beta) - \frac{1}{2} E_t(\gamma^2 \hat{g}_{t+1}^2) \quad (61)$$

- the premium is

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{r}_{t+1} - \bar{r}_{ft} &= \gamma E_t \hat{g}_{t+1} \hat{r}_{t+1} - \frac{1}{2} E_t \hat{r}_{t+1}^2 \\ &= \gamma \text{COV}_t(\hat{g}_{t+1}, \hat{r}_{t+1}) - \frac{1}{2} \text{var}(\hat{r}_{t+1}) \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

# Equity Premium Puzzle

Country	Sample period	$\overline{ae r_e}$	$\sigma(er_e)$	$\sigma(m)$	$\sigma(\Delta c)$	$\rho(er_e, \Delta c)$	$\text{cov}(er_e, \Delta c)$	RRA(1)	RRA(2)
USA	1947.2–1998.3	8.071	15.271	52.853	1.071	0.205	3.354	240.647	49.326
AUL	1970.1–1998.4	3.885	22.403	17.342	2.059	0.144	6.640	58.511	8.421
CAN	1970.1–1999.1	3.968	17.266	22.979	1.920	0.202	6.694	59.266	11.966
FR	1973.2–1998.3	8.308	23.175	35.848	2.922	-0.093	-6.315	< 0	12.270
GER	1978.4–1997.3	8.669	20.196	42.922	2.447	0.029	1.446	599.468	17.542
ITA	1971.2–1998.1	4.687	27.068	17.314	1.665	-0.006	-0.252	< 0	10.400
JAP	1970.2–1998.4	5.098	21.498	23.715	2.561	0.112	6.171	82.620	9.260
NTH	1977.2–1998.3	11.421	16.901	67.576	2.510	0.032	1.344	849.991	26.918
SWD	1970.1–1999.2	11.539	23.518	49.066	1.851	0.015	0.674	1713.197	26.501
SWT	1982.2–1998.4	14.898	21.878	68.098	2.123	-0.112	-5.181	< 0	32.076
UK	1970.1–1999.1	9.169	21.198	43.253	2.511	0.093	4.930	185.977	17.222
USA	1970.1–1998.3	6.353	16.976	37.425	0.909	0.274	4.233	150.100	41.178
SWD	1920–1997	6.540	18.763	34.855	5.622	0.167	8.830	74.062	12.400
UK	1919–1997	8.674	21.277	40.767	5.630	0.351	21.042	41.223	14.483
USA	1891–1997	6.723	18.496	36.345	6.437	0.495	29.450	22.827	11.293

# Risk free rate puzzle

- In order to solve the equity premium puzzle, we need incredible high risk aversion coefficient!!! But it creat another problem
- Risk free rate is equal to

$$\bar{r}_{ft} = -\log(\beta) + \gamma\bar{g}_{t+1} - \frac{\gamma^2}{2}\sigma_g^2 \quad (63)$$

- High  $\gamma$  would leads high risk free rate. One need high  $\beta$  to the match the low risk free rate. This is called as risk free rate puzzle.

# Risk free rate puzzle

Country	Sample period	$\bar{r}_f$	$\bar{\Delta c}$	$\sigma(\Delta c)$	RRA(1)	TPR(1)	RRA(2)	TPR(2)
USA	1947.2–1998.3	0.896	1.951	1.071	240.647	-136.270	49.326	-81.393
AUL	1970.1–1998.4	2.054	2.071	2.059	58.511	-46.512	8.421	-13.880
CAN	1970.1–1999.1	2.713	2.170	1.920	59.266	-61.154	11.966	-20.618
FR	1973.2–1998.3	2.715	1.212	2.922	< 0	N/A	12.270	-5.735
GER	1978.4–1997.3	3.219	1.673	2.447	599.468	9757.265	17.542	-16.910
ITA	1971.2–1998.1	2.371	2.273	1.665	< 0	N/A	10.400	-19.765
JAP	1970.2–1998.4	1.388	3.233	2.561	82.620	-41.841	9.260	-25.735
NTH	1977.2–1998.3	3.377	1.671	2.510	849.991	21349.249	26.918	-18.769
SWD	1970.1–1999.2	1.995	1.001	1.851	1713.197	48590.956	26.501	-12.506
SWT	1982.2–1998.4	1.393	0.559	2.123	< 0	N/A	32.076	6.636
UK	1970.1–1999.1	1.301	2.235	2.511	185.977	676.439	17.222	-27.838
USA	1970.1–1998.3	1.494	1.802	0.909	150.100	-175.916	41.178	-65.701
SWD	1920–1997	2.209	1.730	2.811	74.062	90.793	12.400	-13.165
UK	1919–1997	1.255	1.472	2.815	41.223	7.913	14.483	-11.749
USA	1891–1997	2.020	1.760	3.218	22.827	-11.162	11.293	-11.247

- The utility function is

$$U = \sum \beta^t \frac{C_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \quad (64)$$

- The household problem is to maximize his utility with the constraint:

$$\sum \beta^t q_t C_t \leq W \quad (65)$$

where  $W$  is his life-time income.

- The intertemporal elasticity of substitution can be obtained from the following equation

$$\frac{C_t^{-\gamma}}{q_t} = \frac{C_{t+j}^{-\gamma}}{q_{t+j}} \quad (66)$$

- So we have

$$(C_{t+j}/C_t)^{-\gamma} = q_{t+j}/q_t \quad (67)$$

- The relative consumption is inverse function of the relative price. The intertemporal elasticity of substitution is obtained by

$$IES = -\frac{d \ln(C_{t+j}/C_t)}{d \ln(q_{t+j}/q_t)} = \frac{1}{\gamma} \quad (68)$$

- To consider the risk aversion. We look at the one period utility function

$$U = \frac{C_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \quad (69)$$

- Consider a certainty consumption  $\mu C_t$  and an uncertainty consumption  $\lambda_t C_t$  which are equivalent to the household. We then must have

$$E \frac{\lambda_t^{1-\gamma} C_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} = \frac{C_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \quad (70)$$

- or

$$E \lambda_t^{1-\gamma} = 1 \quad (71)$$



- We need to solve

$$E\lambda_t^{1-\gamma} = 1 \quad (72)$$

- Approximate it around  $\bar{\lambda} = E\lambda_t$ . We have

$$\lambda_t^{1-\gamma} = \bar{\lambda}^{1-\gamma} + (1-\gamma)\bar{\lambda}_t^{-\gamma}[\lambda_t - \bar{\lambda}] - \frac{(1-\gamma)\gamma}{2}\bar{\lambda}_t^{-\gamma-1}[\lambda_t - \bar{\lambda}]^2 \quad (73)$$

- or we have

$$1 = \bar{\lambda}^{1-\gamma} - \frac{(1-\gamma)\gamma}{2}\bar{\lambda}^{1-\gamma} E_t\left[\frac{\lambda_t - \bar{\lambda}}{\bar{\lambda}}\right]^2 \quad (74)$$

- or

$$\bar{\lambda} = \left[ \frac{1}{1 - \frac{(1-\gamma)\lambda}{2}\sigma^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}} \quad (75)$$

- so

$$\bar{\lambda} = 1 + \frac{\gamma}{2}\sigma^2 \quad (76)$$

- the premium is

$$\bar{\lambda} - 1 = \frac{\gamma}{2}\sigma^2 \quad (77)$$

- a high risk aversion coefficient  $\gamma$  require a high premium.

# The separable utility

- Now consider the general utility function

$$U = \sum \beta^t u(C_t) \quad (78)$$

- Similarly we have

$$\frac{u'(C_t)}{p_t} = \frac{u'(C_{t+j})}{p_{t+j}} \quad (79)$$

- This implies

$$\frac{u'(C_{t+j})}{u'(C_t)} = \frac{p_{t+j}}{p_t} \quad (80)$$

- Consider small price change  $p_{t+j} = p_t + \Delta p_t$

# The separable utility

- We approximate  $u'(C_{t+j})$ , we have

$$u'(C_{t+j}) = u'(C_t) + u''(C_t)C_t \left( \frac{C_{t+j} - C_t}{C_t} \right) \quad (81)$$

$$\frac{u'(C_{t+j})}{u'(C_t)} = \frac{p_{t+j}}{p_t} \quad (82)$$

- so we have

$$1 + \frac{u''(C_t)C_t}{u'(C_t)} \left( \frac{C_{t+j} - C_t}{C_t} \right) = 1 + \frac{\Delta p_t}{p_t} \quad (83)$$

- or we have

$$\left( \frac{\Delta C_t}{C_t} / \frac{\Delta p_t}{p_t} \right) = \frac{1}{\frac{u''(C_t)C_t}{u'(C_t)}} = IES$$

# The separable utility

- To derive the risk aversion coefficient, we define

$$E_t u(\lambda_t C_t) = u(C_t) \quad (84)$$

- Again approximate  $u(\lambda_t C_t)$  around  $U(C_t)$ , we have

$$u(\lambda_t C_t) = u(C_t) + u'(C_t)[\lambda_t - 1]C_t + \frac{u''(C_t)}{2}[\lambda_t - 1]^2 C_t^2 \quad (85)$$

- or we have

$$E\lambda_t - 1 = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{u''(C_t)C_t}{u'(C_t)} E_t[\lambda_t - 1]^2 \quad (86)$$

- Notice  $\text{var}(\lambda_t - 1) = E[\lambda_t - 1]^2 - (E\lambda_t - 1)^2$ , the above equation suggest

$$\text{var}(\lambda_t - 1) = \sigma^2 = E[\lambda_t - 1]^2 + o(\sigma^3) \quad (87)$$

- So the premium depends on  $\frac{u''(C_t)C_t}{u'(C_t)}$

# The separable utility

- Generally speaking, the risk aversion coefficient and the intertemporal substitution coefficients in the time separable utility case are captured by the same parameters.
- However intertemporal substitution and risk aversion are two different concepts. So it is not clear that these should be the same.

# E-Z utility function

- Utility function

$$U_t = \left[ c_t^\rho + \beta (E_t U_{t+1}^\alpha)^{\frac{\rho}{\alpha}} \right]^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \quad (88)$$

- If  $\rho = \alpha$ . The utility function becomes

$$U_t^\rho = c_t^\rho + \beta (E_t U_{t+1}^\rho) \quad (89)$$

- If we iterate forward, we have

$$U_t^\rho = E_t \sum \beta^j c_{t+j}^\rho \quad (90)$$

which is the usual utility function.

- If there is no uncertainty, again we have

$$U_t^\rho = \sum \beta^j c_{t+j}^\rho \quad (91)$$

so  $\rho$  captures the intertemporal substitution. And  $\alpha$  captures the risk attitudes.

# Household problem

- Utility function

$$U_t = \left[ c_t^\rho + \beta (E_t U_{t+1}^\alpha)^\frac{\rho}{\alpha} \right]^\frac{1}{\rho} \quad (92)$$

- The budget constraint:

$$c_t + \sum_{i=1}^N S_{i,t+1} = \sum_{i=1}^N S_{t,i} R_{i,t} \quad (93)$$

- Define the total asset as

$$A_t = \sum_{i=1}^N S_{t,i} R_{i,t} \quad (94)$$



- The net asset in the next period is then

$$\begin{aligned}A_{t+1} &= \sum_{i=1}^N S_{t+1,i} R_{t+1,i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N S_{i,t+1} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^N \omega_{t,j} R_{t,j} \right] \\ &= (A_t - c_t) \omega_t' R_t \\ &= (A_t - c_t) M_t\end{aligned}$$

- Where  $M_t$  is the total portfolio return. Take  $M_t$  as given, we have :

$$A_{t+1} = (A_t - c_t) M_{t+1} \quad (95)$$

# Household problem

- We can solve the above problem by guess and verification strategy. Guess the value function is linear in wealth. Namely

$$U_t = \phi_t A_t \quad (96)$$

- where  $\phi_t$  remains to be determine. With the above guess, we have

$$\max U_t = \{c_t^\rho + \beta(A_t - c_t)^\rho [E_t \phi_{t+1}^\alpha m_{t+1}^\alpha]^\frac{\rho}{\alpha}\}^\frac{1}{\rho} \quad (97)$$

- Define  $\mu^* = [E_t \phi_{t+1}^\alpha m_{t+1}^\alpha]^\frac{1}{\alpha}$  We then have the foc with respect to consumption is

# Household problem

- Define  $\mu^* = [E_t \phi_{t+1}^\alpha M_{t+1}^\alpha]^{1/\alpha}$ . We then have the foc with respect to consumption is

$$\max_{c_t} U_t = \{c_t^\rho + \beta(A_t - c_t)^\rho \mu^{*\rho}\}^{1/\rho} \quad (98)$$

- or it yields

$$c_t^{\rho-1} = \beta(A_t - c_t)^{\rho-1} \mu^{*\rho} \quad (99)$$

- we can then solve  $\mu^{*\rho}$

- We have obtained

$$c_t^{\rho-1} = \beta(A_t - c_t)^{\rho-1} \mu^{*\rho} \quad (100)$$

- so we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_t &= \left\{ c_t^\rho + \beta(A_t - c_t)^\rho \frac{c_t^{\rho-1}}{\beta(A_t - c_t)^{\rho-1}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \\ &= \left\{ c_t^\rho + (A_t - c_t) c_t^{\rho-1} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \\ &= \phi_t A_t \end{aligned} \quad (101)$$

- or

$$\phi_t = \left( \frac{c_t}{A_t} \right)^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}} \quad (102)$$

- By definition we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu^{*\rho} &= \left[ E \left( \frac{c_{t+1}}{A_{t+1}} \right)^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\alpha} m_{t+1}^\alpha \right]^{\frac{\rho}{\alpha}} \\ &= (A_t - c_t)^{1-\rho} \left[ E \left( \frac{c_{t+1}}{m_{t+1}} \right)^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\alpha} m_{t+1}^\alpha \right]^{\frac{\rho}{\alpha}}\end{aligned}\tag{103}$$

- And by

$$c_t^{\rho-1} = \beta(A_t - c_t)^{\rho-1} \mu^{*\rho}\tag{104}$$

- We obtain

$$c_t^{(\rho-1)\frac{\alpha}{\rho}} = \beta^{\frac{\alpha}{\rho}} \left[ E \left( \frac{c_{t+1}}{m_{t+1}} \right)^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\alpha} m_{t+1}^\alpha \right]\tag{105}$$

# Household problem

- Let  $\theta = \frac{\alpha}{\rho}$ , we then have

$$E_t \left[ \beta \left( \frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} \right)^{\rho-1} m_{t+1} \right]^{\theta} = 1 \quad (106)$$

- So far we have obtain the Euler equation for consumption. Now we try to determine the portfolio. Given  $c_t^*$ , the problem is to solve

$$\max_{\omega_{i,t+1}} \left\{ E_t \phi_{t+1}^{\alpha} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \omega_{i,t+1} R_{i,t+1} \right)^{\alpha} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \quad (107)$$

- with the constraint

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \omega_{i,t+1} = 1 \quad (108)$$

- Again this is a static problem: The first order conditions are

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ E_t \phi_{t+1}^\alpha \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \omega_{i,t+1} R_{i,t+1} \right)^\alpha \right\}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} \times \\ & E_t \phi_{t+1}^\alpha \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \omega_{i,t+1} R_{i,t+1} \right)^{\alpha-1} R_{i,t+1} \\ & = \lambda_t \end{aligned} \tag{109}$$

- Multiply this equation by  $\omega_{i,t+1}$ , sum over  $i$  to derive :

$$\left\{ E_t \phi_{t+1}^\alpha \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \omega_{i,t+1} R_{i,t+1} \right)^\alpha \right\}^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = \lambda_t \tag{110}$$

- This yields

$$\begin{aligned} & E_t \phi_{t+1}^\alpha \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \omega_{i,t+1} R_{i,t+1} \right)^{\alpha-1} R_{i,t+1} \\ = & E_t \phi_{t+1}^\alpha \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \omega_{i,t+1} R_{i,t+1} \right)^\alpha \end{aligned} \quad (111)$$

- by definition

$$m_{t+1} = \sum_{i=1}^N \omega_{i,t+1} R_{i,t+1} \quad (112)$$



- We now substitute  $\phi_{t+1}$  out by

$$\phi_t = \left( \frac{c_t}{A_t} \right)^{\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}} \quad (113)$$

- or we have

$$E_t \left( \frac{c_{t+1}}{A_{t+1}} \right)^{\theta(\rho-1)} m_{t+1}^{\alpha-1} R_{i,t+1} = E_t \left( \frac{c_{t+1}}{A_{t+1}} \right)^{\theta(\rho-1)} m_{t+1}^{\alpha} \quad (114)$$

- finally we have

$$A_{t+1} = (A_t - c_t) m_{t+1} \quad (115)$$

- Further simplification yields

$$\begin{aligned} E_t \left( \frac{c_{t+1}}{m_{t+1}} \right)^{\theta(\rho-1)} m_{t+1}^{\alpha-1} R_{i,t+1} &= E_t \left( \frac{c_{t+1}}{m_{t+1}} \right)^{\theta(\rho-1)} m_{t+1}^{\alpha} \\ &= E_t c_{t+1}^{\theta(\rho-1)} m_{t+1}^{\theta} \end{aligned} \quad (116)$$

- Finally use that

$$E_t \left[ \beta \left( \frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} \right)^{\rho-1} m_{t+1} \right]^{\theta} = 1 \quad (117)$$

- multiplying both side by  $c_t^{-\theta(\rho-1)} \beta^{\theta}$  we yields

$$E_t \beta^{\theta} \left( \frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} \right)^{\theta(\rho-1)} m_{t+1}^{\theta-1} R_{i,t+1} = 1 \quad (118)$$

# Household problem

- Consider two asset. One is the stock, the other is risk free bonds. The bond has zero supply. So in equilibrium we have

$$\omega_{b,t+1} = 0 \quad (119)$$

and

$$\omega_{et+1} = 1 \quad (120)$$

where  $\omega_{b,t+1}$  is the weight on bond and  $\omega_{et+1}$  is the weight on stock

- hence we have

$$m_{t+1} = R_{et+1} \quad (121)$$

- We hence has

$$E_t \beta^\theta \left( \frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} \right)^{\theta(\rho-1)} R_{et+1}^\theta = 1 \quad (122)$$

- and

$$E_t \beta^\theta \left( \frac{c_{t+1}}{c_t} \right)^{\theta(\rho-1)} R_{et+1}^{\theta-1} R_{ft} = 1 \quad (123)$$

- We can rewrite them in exponential terms

$$\beta^\theta E_t e^{\theta(\rho-1)\Delta c_{t+1} + (\theta-1) \log m_{t+1} + r_{i,t+1}} = 1 \quad (124)$$

- Assume normal distribution for  $\Delta c_{t+1}$ ,  $\log m_{t+1}$ ,  $r_{it+1}$ , we hence has

$$\begin{aligned} & \theta \log \beta + \theta(\rho - 1)E\Delta c_{t+1} + (\theta - 1)Em_{t+1} + Er_{i,t+1} \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (125)$$

- where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^2 = & \theta^2(\rho - 1)^2\sigma_c^2 + (\theta - 1)^2\sigma_m^2 + \sigma_i^2 + \\ & 2\theta(\rho - 1)\sigma_{c,i} + 2\theta(\rho - 1)(\theta - 1)\sigma_{c,m} + 2(\theta - 1)\sigma_{i,m} \end{aligned} \quad (126)$$

where  $\sigma_{c,i}$  is the covariance between consumption growth and the log return.

- For risk free return, we have  $\sigma_f^2 = 0$ ;  $\sigma_{c,f} = 0$ ;  $\sigma_{i,m} = 0$ .

- Hence the risk premium is

$$Er_{t+1} - r_{f,t+1} \simeq \theta(1 - \rho) \text{cov}(\Delta c_{t+1}, r_{et+1}) + (1 - \theta)\sigma_e^2 - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_e^2 \quad (127)$$

- in the case  $\rho = \alpha$ , so  $\theta = 1$ , this reduces to

$$Er_{t+1} - r_{f,t+1} \simeq (1 - \rho) \text{cov}(\Delta c_{t+1}, r_{et+1}) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_e^2 \quad (128)$$

which is the same as before.

- We requires a very large  $\gamma$

$$\gamma = 1 - \rho \quad (129)$$

- and in this case, we can explain the risk premium puzzle but generate risk free rate puzzle.

# Risk Premium

- The E-Z preference can solve both puzzle. To see this. Let  $\rho = 1$ . And in such case, the risk free rate is given by

$$E r_{t+1} - r_{f,t+1} \simeq \theta(1 - \rho) \text{cov}(\Delta c_{t+1}, r_{et+1}) + (1 - \theta)\sigma_e^2 - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_e^2 \quad (130)$$

$$r_{ft} = -\theta \log \beta + (1 - \theta) E_t r_{et+1} - \frac{1}{2} [(\theta - 1)^2 \sigma_e^2] \quad (131)$$

- Combine the above two equations we have

$$\theta r_{ft} + (\theta - 1) [(1 - \theta)\sigma_e^2 - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_e^2] = -\theta \log \beta - \frac{1}{2} [(\theta - 1)^2 \sigma_e^2] \quad (132)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \theta r_{ft} &= -\theta \log \beta - \frac{1}{2} [(\theta - 1)^2 + 2(\theta - 1)(1 - \theta) - (\theta - 1)] \sigma_e^2 \\ &= -\theta \log \beta + \frac{1}{2} [(\theta - 1)\theta] \sigma_e^2 \end{aligned} \quad (133)$$

- or we have

$$r_{ft} = -\log \beta + \frac{1}{2} (\theta - 1) \sigma_e^2 < -\log \beta \quad (134)$$

- The representative household solves:

$$\max U_t = \left\{ \left[ c_t^\theta (1 - n_t)^{1-\theta} \right]^\rho + \beta (E_t U_{t+1}^\gamma)^{\frac{\rho}{\gamma}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\rho}}$$

- s. t

$$c_t + k_{t+1} = A_t k_t^\alpha n_t^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta) k_t$$



- Set-up the Lagrangian function

$$U(k_t, A_t) = \left\{ \left[ c_t^\theta (1 - n_t)^{1-\theta} \right]^\rho + \beta (E_t U_{t+1}^\gamma)^\frac{\rho}{\gamma} \right\}^\frac{1}{\rho} \quad (135)$$

$$+ \lambda_t [A_t k_t^\alpha n_t^{1-\alpha} + (1 - \delta)k_t - c_t - k_{t+1}]$$

- The first order conditions with respect to  $c_t$ ,  $n_t$  and  $k_{t+1}$  are :

$$\left\{ \left[ c_t^\theta (1 - n_t)^{1-\theta} \right]^\rho + \beta (E_t U_{t+1}^\gamma)^\frac{\rho}{\gamma} \right\}^\frac{1}{\rho} - 1$$

$$\times \left[ c_t^\theta (1 - n_t)^{1-\theta} \right]^{\rho-1} \theta c_t^{\theta-1}$$

$$= \lambda_t \quad (136)$$

- With respect to labor is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\{ \left[ c_t^\theta (1 - n_t)^{1-\theta} \right]^\rho + \beta (E_t U_{t+1}^\gamma)^\frac{\rho}{\gamma} \right\}^\frac{1}{\rho}-1 \\
 & \times \left[ c_t^\theta (1 - n_t)^{1-\theta} \right]^{\rho-1} (1 - \theta) n_t^{\theta-1} \\
 = & \lambda_t \frac{(1 - \alpha) y_t}{n_t} \tag{137}
 \end{aligned}$$

- and with respect to capital is

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\{ \left[ c_t^\theta (1 - n_t)^{1-\theta} \right]^\rho + \beta (E_t U_{t+1}^\gamma)^\frac{\rho}{\gamma} \right\}^\frac{1}{\rho}-1 \\
 & \times \beta (E_t U_{t+1}^\gamma)^\frac{\rho}{\gamma}-1 \\
 & \times E U_{t+1}^{\gamma-1} \frac{\partial U'}{\partial k'} \\
 = & \lambda_t
 \end{aligned}$$

- Envelop theory

$$\frac{\partial U(k_t, A_t)}{\partial k_t} = \lambda_t \left[ \alpha \frac{y_t}{k_t} + (1 - \delta) \right] \quad (138)$$

- So the Euler equation is

$$\begin{aligned} & U_t^{1-\rho} \left[ c_t^\theta (1 - n_t)^{1-\theta} \right]^{\rho-1} \theta c_t^{\theta-1} \\ = & U_t^{1-\rho} \beta (E_t U_{t+1}^\gamma)^{\frac{\rho}{\gamma}-1} \\ & \times E_t U_{t+1}^{\gamma-\rho} \left[ c_{t+1}^\theta (1 - n_{t+1})^{1-\theta} \right]^{\rho-1} \theta c_{t+1}^{\theta-1} \end{aligned} \quad (139)$$

- it can be reduced further to

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ c_t^\theta (1 - n_t)^{1-\theta} \right]^{\rho-1} c_t^{\theta-1} &= \beta (E_t U_{t+1}^\gamma)^{\frac{\rho}{\gamma}-1} \\ &\times E_t U_{t+1}^{\gamma-\rho} \left[ c_{t+1}^\theta (1 - n_{t+1})^{1-\theta} \right]^{\rho-1} \theta c_{t+1}^{\theta-1} \end{aligned} \quad (140)$$

in summary we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ c_t^\theta (1 - n_t)^{1-\theta} \right]^{\rho-1} c_t^{\theta-1} \\ = & \beta (E_t U_{t+1}^\gamma)^{\frac{\rho-\gamma}{\gamma}} \times E_t U_{t+1}^{\gamma-\rho} \left[ c_{t+1}^\theta (1 - n_{t+1})^{1-\theta} \right]^{\rho-1} c_{t+1}^{\theta-1} \quad (141) \end{aligned}$$

$$\theta c_t^{\theta-1} \frac{(1-\alpha)y_t}{n_t} = (1-\theta)n_t^{-\theta} \quad (n)$$

$$c_t + k_{t+1} = A_t k_t^\alpha n_t^{1-\alpha} + (1-\delta)k_t \quad (c)$$

$$U_t = \left\{ \left[ c_t^\theta (1 - n_t)^{1-\theta} \right]^\rho + \beta (E_t U_{t+1}^\gamma)^{\frac{\rho}{\gamma}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{\rho}} \quad (U)$$

$$y_t = A_t k_t^\alpha n_t^{1-\alpha} \quad (y)$$